



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,109	09/28/2001	Trevor Vernon Smith	3120.00026	8086

7590 12/29/2003

Kohn & Associates  
Suite 410  
30500 Northwestern Hwy.  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334

EXAMINER


LEGESSE, NINI F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/967,109	SMITH, TREVOR VERNON	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nini F. Legesse	3711	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nini F. Legesse. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Amy E. Rinaldo. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24,27 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,890,968 to Mingo, US 5,919,097 to Cole, US 5,785,603 to Lazier, and GB 1/322,274 to Oppenheimer.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Steven Wong  
  
Primary Examiner  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the course of the interview, claims 1-24, 27, and 28 were discussed as they relate to the prior art of record. Applicant's representative, Ms. Rinaldo specifically explained that the Mingo reference does not meet the structural limitations of the claims. In conclusion, it was agreed that any amendment and argument that Applicant would provide in response to the office action would be considered upon submission and Examiner will contact Applicant's representative if there is any allowable subject matter and/ or suggestion for claim language.